



TITLE:

# HEIGHT INEQUALITY FOR CURVES OVER FUNCTION FIELDS (Diophantine Problems and Analytic Number Theory)

AUTHOR(S):

Yamanoi, Katsutoshi

---

CITATION:

Yamanoi, Katsutoshi. HEIGHT INEQUALITY FOR CURVES OVER FUNCTION FIELDS  
(Diophantine Problems and Analytic Number Theory). 数理解析研究所講究録 2003, 1319:  
24-28

ISSUE DATE:

2003-05

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/43045>

RIGHT:

# HEIGHT INEQUALITY FOR CURVES OVER FUNCTION FIELDS

京都大学数理解析研究所 山ノ井 克俊 (KATSUTOSHI YAMANOI)  
RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES,  
KYOTO UNIVERSITY

## 0. INTRODUCTION

The geometric case of the height inequality (cf. [V3]) was discussed at the conference. By the geometric case, we mean that the global field in the question is a function field of one variable over complex number field  $\mathbb{C}$ , instead of a number field which is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Hence in our geometric case, problem is algebro-geometric nature. Since we consider geometry over  $\mathbb{C}$ , our problem is also complex analytic nature.

Our method belongs to the second view point. We use techniques of classical function theory such as Ahlfors' theory of covering surfaces, area-length method to prove the height inequality for curves in the geometric case, which is the main result of our discussion.

## 1. NOTATIONS

Let  $B$  be a smooth, projective, connected curve over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $k$  be the function field of  $B$ . Let  $S \subset B$  be a finite set of points which will be fixed throughout. Let  $X$  be a smooth, projective, geometrically connected variety over  $k$  and  $D \subset X$  be an effective divisor. Let  $L$  be a line bundle on  $X$ .

Following P. Vojta [V3], we define the functions

$$h_{L,k}(P), N_{k,S}(D, P), N_{k,S}^{(1)}(D, P), m_{k,S}(D, P), d_k(P)$$

as follows.

First, take a model of  $X$  over  $B$ , i.e., smooth variety  $\mathfrak{X}$  projective over  $B$  such that the generic fiber is  $X$ . Then by taking the normalization of the Zariski closure of  $P \in \mathfrak{X}(\bar{k}) = X(\bar{k})$ , we can associate the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B' & \xrightarrow{f_P} & \mathfrak{X} \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ B & \xlongequal{\quad} & B \end{array}$$

Here  $B'$  is the curve whose function field is isomorphic to  $k(P)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{D} \subset \mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{L}$  be an extension of  $D \subset X$  and  $L$  to  $\mathfrak{X}$ , respectively.

Put

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mathfrak{L},k}(P) &= \frac{1}{\deg p} \deg f_P^* \mathfrak{L}, \\ N_{k,S}(\mathfrak{D}, P) &= \frac{1}{\deg p} \sum_{x \in B' \setminus p^{-1}(S)} \text{ord}_x f_P^* \mathfrak{D} \quad (P \in X(\bar{k}) \setminus D), \\ N_{k,S}^{(1)}(\mathfrak{D}, P) &= \frac{1}{\deg p} \sum_{x \in B' \setminus p^{-1}(S)} \min(1, \text{ord}_x f_P^* \mathfrak{D}) \quad (P \in X(\bar{k}) \setminus D) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$m_{k,S}(\mathfrak{D}, P) = \frac{1}{\deg p} \sum_{x \in p^{-1}(S)} \text{ord}_x f_P^* \mathfrak{D} \quad (P \in X(\bar{k}) \setminus D).$$

If we replace the models  $\mathfrak{X}$ ,  $\mathfrak{D}$  and  $\mathfrak{L}$  to other models  $\mathfrak{X}'$ ,  $\mathfrak{D}'$  and  $\mathfrak{L}'$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\mathfrak{L},k}(P) &= h_{\mathfrak{L}',k}(P) + O(1), \quad N_{k,S}(\mathfrak{D}, P) = N_{k,S}(\mathfrak{D}', P) + O(1), \\ N_{k,S}^{(1)}(\mathfrak{D}, P) &= N_{k,S}^{(1)}(\mathfrak{D}', P) + O(1), \quad m_{k,S}(\mathfrak{D}, P) = m_{k,S}(\mathfrak{D}', P) + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $O(1)$  are bounded terms independent of  $P \in X(\bar{k})$ . Hence we write as

$$\begin{aligned} h_{L,k}(P) &= h_{\mathfrak{L},k}(P) + O(1), \quad N_{k,S}(D, P) = N_{k,S}(\mathfrak{D}, P) + O(1), \\ N_{k,S}^{(1)}(D, P) &= N_{k,S}^{(1)}(\mathfrak{D}, P) + O(1), \quad m_{k,S}(D, P) = m_{k,S}(\mathfrak{D}, P) + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, put

$$d_k(P) = \frac{1}{\deg p} \deg(\text{ram } p),$$

where  $\text{ram } p \subset B'$  is the ramification divisor of  $p$ .

## 2. MAIN CONJECTURE

Ofcourse, we have equality

$$(2.1) \quad h_{L(D),k}(P) = N_{k,S}(D, P) + m_{k,S}(D, P) + O(1),$$

where  $L(D)$  is the line bundle associated to  $D$ . Our problem is that *What happens if we replace the right hand side of (2.1) by the term  $N_{k,S}^{(1)}(D, P)$ ?*

In this case, we can't hope any equality. Instead, we hope the inequality like

$$(2.2) \quad h_{K_X(D),k} \leq N_{k,S}^{(1)}(D, P) + d_k(P) + (\text{small error term}),$$

where  $K_X$  is the canonical line bundle on  $X$ .

*Heuristic proof of (2.2):*

1. We only consider  $k$  rational points  $P \in X(k)$  for simplicity. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the connected component of the moduli space of sections of  $\pi : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow B$  containing the section  $f_P : B \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ .
2. For integers  $k \geq 0$ , put

$$\mathcal{M}_k = \{f' \in \mathcal{M} : \deg f'^*\mathfrak{D} - \#\text{supp}(f'^*\mathfrak{D}) \geq k\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{M}_k \subset \mathcal{M}$  is a Zariski closed subset and form a sequence

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_0 \supset \mathcal{M}_1 \supset \mathcal{M}_2 \supset \cdots.$$

3. For a generic  $f' \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $f'(B)$  and  $\mathfrak{D}$  would intersect transversely. Hence we hope

$$\deg f'^*\mathfrak{D} = \#\text{supp}(f'^*\mathfrak{D}),$$

which implies  $\mathcal{M}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{M}$  and  $\text{codim}(\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_0) \geq 1$ .

4. More generally, we hope  $\text{codim}(\mathcal{M}_{k+1}, \mathcal{M}_k) \geq 1$  for  $k \geq 0$ .
5. Hence, for  $k = \dim \mathcal{M} + \varepsilon$ , we hope “ $\mathcal{M}_k = \emptyset$ ”, which implies

$$\deg f_P^*\mathfrak{D} - \dim \mathcal{M} \leq \#\text{supp}(f_P^*\mathfrak{D}) + \varepsilon.$$

6. By the equality “ $\dim \mathcal{M} \doteq -h_{K_X, k}(P)$ ”, which seems to be true, and the fact  $\#S < \infty$  we get

$$h_{K_X(D), k}(P) \leq N_{k, S}^{(1)}(D, P) + \varepsilon + O(1)$$

as desired.

Unfortunately, the above inequality (2.2) is not correct in general, and it seems very difficult to justify the above argument.

The precise conjecture is

**Conjecture** ([V3]). *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety over  $k$ , let  $D$  be a normal crossings divisor on  $X$ , let  $L$  be a big line bundle on  $X$ , let  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a proper Zariski closed subset  $Z = Z(k, S, X, D, L, r, \varepsilon) \subsetneq X$  such that*

$$h_{K_X(D), k}(P) \leq N_{k, S}^{(1)}(D, P) + d_k(P) + \varepsilon h_{L, k}(P) + O_\varepsilon(1)$$

for all  $P \in X(\bar{k}) \setminus Z$  with  $[k(P) : k] < r$ .

**Remark 2.3.** (1) *Using Arakelov geometry, the number field case of the above conjecture can be formulated in the same manner (see [V3]).*

(2) *When  $X$  is a curve,  $Z$  is a union of points. Hence  $P \in Z$  satisfies  $h_{K_X(D), k}(P) < O_\varepsilon(1)$ , which means that we don't need  $Z$  in this case.*

## 3. MAIN RESULT

We can prove the one dimensional case of above conjecture.

**Theorem .** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective curve over  $k$ , let  $D$  be a reduced divisor on  $X$ , let  $L$  be a big line bundle on  $X$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then we have*

$$(3.1) \quad h_{K_X(D),k}(P) \leq N_{k,S}^{(1)}(D, P) + d_k(P) + \varepsilon h_{L,k}(P) + O_\varepsilon(1)$$

for all  $P \in X(\bar{k}) \setminus D$ .

**Remark 3.2.** (1) *In our case, we don't need  $r$  in above conjecture.*

(2) *When  $(X, D)$  has splitting, i.e., there exists  $(X_0, D_0)$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $(X, D) = (X_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} k, D_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} k)$ , our theorem is an easy consequence of Hurwitz's formula.*

The following corollary directly follows from our theorem (cf. [V1], [V2]).

**Corollary .** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective curve over  $k$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then we have*

$$h_{K_X,k}(P) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)d_k(P) + O_\varepsilon(1)$$

for all  $P \in X(\bar{k})$ .

## 4. ABOUT PROOF

Our proof is based on Ahlfors' theory of covering surfaces [A], which is an important theory in classical complex analysis. Roughly speaking, main result of Ahlfors' theory is kind of Hurwitz's formula for non-proper covering of surfaces.

First, we reduce the general case of our theorem to the special case that  $X = \mathbb{P}_k^1$  and  $D = (P_1) + \cdots + (P_q)$  where  $P_i$  are distinct  $k$ -rational points of  $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ . This reduction step is algebraic; using a ramified cover and the ramification formula.

Then this special case is equivalent to the following; *Let  $a_1, \dots, a_q$  be distinct rational functions on  $B$ , let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there is a positive constant  $C(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that for all covering  $\pi : Y \rightarrow B$  and rational function  $f$  on  $Y$  such that  $f \neq a_i \circ \pi$ , we have*

$$(4.1) \quad (q - 2 - \varepsilon) \deg f \leq \sum_{i=1}^q \#\{z \in Y; a_i \circ \pi(z) = f(z)\} \\ + \deg(\text{ram } \pi) + C(\varepsilon) \deg \pi.$$

To prove (4.1), we first divide  $B$  by sufficiently small, finite Jordan domains  $\Delta_\lambda$  such that

$$B = \bigcup_{\lambda: \text{finite}} \overline{\Delta_\lambda}, \quad \Delta_\lambda \cap \Delta_{\lambda'} = \emptyset \text{ for } \lambda \neq \lambda'.$$

If each  $\Delta_\lambda$  is small enough, then the move of rational functions  $a_i$  on  $\Delta_\lambda$  are very small, hence the situation is close to the constant case. As already mentioned above, if rational functions  $a_i$  are constant, then (4.1) can be proved by Hurwitz's formula. In our case, since  $\Delta_\lambda$  is non-compact, we use Ahlfors' theory instead of Hurwitz's formula to prove localized inequality of (4.1) on  $\Delta_\lambda$ . Then we sum all these localized inequality over  $\lambda$  to obtain (4.1). In this part, we also need so-called area-length method, which is an important technique in complex analysis.

Our inequality (4.1) is an algebraic analogue of a long standing conjecture, called defect relation for small functions, in one dimensional value distribution theory. And above proof is a modification of an argument in [Y1].

#### REFERENCES

- [A] L. Ahlfors, *Zur Theorie der Überlagerungsflächen*, Acta Math. **65** (1935), 157-194.
- [L] S. Lang, *Number Theory III*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, **60**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [V1] P. Vojta, *Diophantine Approximations and Value Distribution Theory*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1239**, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [V2] P. Vojta, *On Algebraic Points on Curves*, Compositio Math. **78** (1991), 29-36.
- [V3] P. Vojta, *A More General ABC Conjecture*, IMRN (International Mathematics Research Notices) **1998** no 21, 1103-1116.
- [Y1] K. Yamanoi, *A Proof of the Defect Relation for Small Functions*, preprint, 2002.
- [Y2] K. Yamanoi, *On the Diophantine inequality for Curves in Geometric cases*, preprint, 2002.

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY,  
OIWAKE-CHO, SAKYO-KU, KYOTO, 606-8502, JAPAN  
E-mail address: ya@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp